

SECRET



Approved For Release 2000/08/08 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001500120017-6

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

**US ARMY INTELLIGENCE AND SECURITY COMMAND
FORT GEORGE G. MEADE, MARYLAND 20755**

REPLY TO
ATTENTION OF:

February 28, 1985

Dr. H. Puthoff,
SRI International
333 Ravenswood Ave.
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Dear Dr. Puthoff,

(S/CL2/NOFORN) This letter is to acknowledge receipt of the five project reports submitted to us by SRI-International in support of U.S. Army INSCOM Project CENTER. LANE. I have completed a review of each of the reports in terms of the goals, specific tasks, and deliverables which were outlined in each project's Statement of Work (SOW).

(U) Since I have received only draft reports for SOW ESU 83-134 and ESU 83-147, the reviews for these projects have been made using these draft reports. I trust we will receive the final reports on those two SOW's in the immediate future.

(U) My review of the reports reveals the following:

- (S/CL3/NOFORN) Major goals, tasks, and deliverables generally were addressed and satisfied; however, there are instances where this is not the case. I will cite several examples.

a. (S/CL3/NOFORN) The ESU-83-134 report indicates that Phases I and II were only partially accomplished and Phase III was not conducted.

b. (S/CL3/NOFORN) The ESU-83-145 report did not include separate inclosures for each trainee with requested information.

**WARNING NOTICE: CENTER LANE SPECIAL ACCESS PROGRAM
RESTRICT DISSEMINATION TO THOSE WITH VERIFIED ACCESS
TO CATEGORY THREE (3)**

**SENSITIVE INTELLIGENCE SOURCES AND METHODS INVOLVED
NOT RELEASABLE TO FOREIGN NATIONALS**

CLASSIFIED BY: CDR, INSCOM
DECLASSIFY ON: OADR

COPY 2 OF 2 COPIES

Approved For Release 2000/08/08 : CIA-RDP96-00788R001500120017-6

SECRET

SECRET

c. (S/CL3/NOFORN) The ESU-83-147 report states that a literature search was conducted, but it was not summarized for inclusion in the report. Further, the report contained no statement why weather and lunar cycles were not analyzed as factors affecting remote viewing.

- (S/CL2/NOFORN) Where the SOWs contained requirements for recommendations dealing with future training requirements, no recommendations were provided. For example, the SOW for 83-144 provides no proposal for a training program.

- (S/CL2/NOFORN) The above are selected examples and are not intended to be inclusive of my review and assessment. In conducting that review, my primary concern was to compare the reports against the requirements stated in the five SOW's. Additionally, I conducted an assessment of the work done in terms of its value to the CENTER LANE effort. All those results are available in my office.

(C/NOFORN) My duties and responsibilities as the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative require me to notify the Contracting Officer of satisfactory completion of the contract. I have to accomplish that action by 12 April 1985. In order that I may respond in a positive manner, I request that you review your reports prepared for Letter Order 18 to determine if they satisfied the requirements and intent of the SOW's. Please provide me your response by 29 March 1985. Additionally, I remind you of the requirement to provide me the list of equipment you purchased in support of the contract.

(C/NOFORN) The association of the undersigned with Military Intelligence is classified CONFIDENTIAL.



BRIAN BUZBY
LTC, MI
CENTER LANE Project Manager

SECRET